

# Resit Exam — Functional Analysis (WIFA–08)

Tuesday 26 June 2018, 9.00h–12.00h

University of Groningen

---

## Instructions

1. The use of calculators, books, or notes is not allowed.
  2. All answers need to be accompanied with an explanation or a calculation: only answering “yes”, “no”, or “42” is not sufficient.
  3. If  $p$  is the number of marks then the exam grade is  $G = 1 + p/10$ .
- 

## Problem 1 (7 + 5 + 10 + 3 = 25 points)

Define the following linear space:

$$X = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) : x_k \in \mathbb{K}, \|x\|_X < \infty\}, \quad \|x\|_X = |x_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k|.$$

- (a) Prove that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is a norm on  $X$ .
- (b) Recall the following Banach space from the lecture notes:

$$\ell^1 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) : x_k \in \mathbb{K}, \|x\|_1 < \infty\}, \quad \|x\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|.$$

Consider the linear map:

$$T : X \rightarrow \ell^1, \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (x_1, x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_2, \dots).$$

Show that  $T$  is bijective and  $\|Tx\|_1 = \|x\|_X$  for all  $x \in X$ .

- (c) Prove that  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space using that  $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space.
- (d) Show that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are *not* equivalent on the space  $\ell^1$ .

## Problem 2 (6 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 7 = 25 points)

Consider the space  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{K})$  with norm  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)|$  and the following linear operator:

$$T : X \rightarrow X, \quad Tf(x) = \int_0^1 e^{x-t} f(t) dt.$$

- (a) Show that  $T$  is compact.
- (b) Show that  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .
- (c) Assume  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Show that if  $Tf - \lambda f = g$ , then  $f = \alpha e^x - g/\lambda$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ .
- (d) Compute  $(T - \lambda)^{-1}g$  by computing the constant  $\alpha$  in terms of  $g$  and  $\lambda$ .
- (e) Determine  $\rho(T)$  and hence  $\sigma(T)$ .

**Problem 3 (5 + 3 + 7 + 5 = 20 points)**

(a) Formulate Baire's theorem for metric spaces.

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be any norm on the space of finitely supported sequences:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) : x_k \in \mathbb{K}, \text{ there exists } N_x \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \geq N_x\}.$$

Prove the following statements:

- (b)  $\mathcal{S}_n = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq n\}$  is closed for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (c)  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is nowhere dense for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (d)  $\mathcal{S}$  is *not* a Banach space.

**Problem 4 (4 + 6 = 10 points)**

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $V \subset X$  be a subset.

(a) For  $v \in V$  define the linear map  $f_v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $f_v(x) = (x, v)$ . Show that

$$\|f_v\| = \|v\|.$$

(b) Assume that for each  $x \in X$  there exists a constant  $M_x \geq 0$  such that

$$|(v, x)| \leq M_x \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Use the uniform boundedness principle to prove that the set  $V$  is bounded.

**Problem 5 (4 + 6 = 10 points)**

Let  $X$  be a normed linear space and let  $x \in X$ . Define the map

$$F_x : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad F_x(f) = f(x), \quad f \in X',$$

and define the map  $J : X \rightarrow X''$  by  $J(x) = F_x$ .

- (a) Prove that  $F_x : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is linear and that  $\|F_x\| = \|x\|$ .
- (b) Assume that  $X$  is *not* a Banach space. Explain how the map  $J$  can be used to construct a completion of  $X$ .

**End of test (90 points)**

**Solution of problem 1 (7 + 5 + 10 + 3 = 25 points)**

(a) It is clear that  $\|x\|_X \geq 0$  for any  $x \in X$ . If  $\|x\|_X = 0$ , then

$$|x_1| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |x_{k+1} - x_k| = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies that  $x_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x = 0$ .

**(2 points)**

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x \in X$  we have  $\lambda x = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots)$  so that

$$\|\lambda x\|_X = |\lambda x_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda(x_{k+1} - x_k)| = |\lambda| |x_1| + |\lambda| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k| = |\lambda| \|x\|_X.$$

**(2 points)**

For  $x, y \in X$  we have  $x + y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_X &= |x_1 + y_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(x_{k+1} - x_k) + (y_{k+1} - y_k)| \\ &\leq |x_1| + |y_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|x_{k+1} - x_k| + |y_{k+1} - y_k|) \\ &= |x_1| + |y_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+1} - y_k| \\ &= \|x\|_X + \|y\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

**(3 points)**

(b) If  $Tx = 0$ , then  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_{k+1} - x_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies that  $x_k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $x = 0$ . This shows that  $T$  is injective.

**(2 points)**

Let  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \ell^1$  and set  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  by  $x_k = y_1 + \dots + y_k$ , then  $Tx = y$  and

$$\|x\|_X = |x_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k+1} - x_k| = |y_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_{k+1}| = \|y\|_1 < \infty,$$

which shows that  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $T$  is surjective. The equality  $\|Tx\|_1 = \|x\|_X$  for all  $x \in X$  is trivial.

**(3 points)**

(c) Let  $x^n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and set  $y^n = Tx^n$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary, then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m, n \geq N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|y^n - y^m\|_1 = \|T(x^n - x^m)\|_1 = \|x^n - x^m\|_X \leq \epsilon,$$

which shows that  $y^n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ .

**(4 points)**

Since  $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is complete there exists  $y \in \ell^1$  such that  $\|y^n - y\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let  $x = T^{-1}y$ , then since  $T^{-1}$  is also isometric we have

$$\|x^n - x\|_X = \|T^{-1}(y^n - y)\|_X = \|y^n - y\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

**(6 points)**

(d) Consider the sequence  $x^n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots)$ . On the one hand we have

$$\|x^n\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\|x^n\|_X = 1 + \left| \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right| + \left| \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \dots + \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n-1} \right| + \left| 0 - \frac{1}{n} \right| = 2.$$

Therefore, there is no constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_1 \leq C\|x\|_X$  for all  $x \in \ell^1$ .

**(3 points)**

**Solution of problem 2 (6 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 7 = 25 points)**

(a) *Solution 1.* For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have that

$$|Tf(x)| = \left| \int_0^1 e^{x-t} f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 e^{x-t} |f(t)| dt \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_0^1 e^{x-t} dt = \|f\|_\infty e^x (1 - e^{-1}).$$

Taking the supremum over all  $x \in [0, 1]$  gives

$$\|Tf\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |Tf(x)| \leq (e - 1) \|f\|_\infty,$$

which shows that  $T$  is a bounded operator.

**(3 points)**

Also note that for any  $f \in X$  we have  $Tf \in \text{span}\{e^x\}$ , which shows that  $\dim \text{ran } T = 1$ . Together with the boundedness of  $T$  this implies that  $T$  is a compact operator.

**(3 points)**

*Solution 2.* Recall the following theorem from the lecture notes: if  $K : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a continuous function, then the Fredholm operator

$$T : X \rightarrow X, \quad Tf(x) = \int_a^b K(x, t) f(t) dt$$

is a compact operator. Clearly, the function  $K(x, t) = e^{x-t}$  satisfies the hypothesis of this theorem.

**(6 points)**

(b) *Solution 1.* Since  $T$  is compact and  $X$  is infinite-dimensional a theorem of the lecture notes guarantees that  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

**(4 points)**

*Solution 2.* Since  $\dim \text{ran } T = 1$  and  $X$  is infinite-dimensional we have that  $\text{ran } T$  is not dense in  $X$ . This means that  $0 \notin \rho(T)$ , or, equivalently,  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

**(4 points)**

*Solution 3.* Let  $f \in X$  be nontrivial and satisfy  $\int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0$ . For example, let  $f(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$ . Then the function  $g(x) = e^x f(x)$  belongs to  $\ker T$ . This implies that  $0 \in \sigma_p(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ .

**(4 points)**

(c) Note that for any  $f$  we have that  $Tf = \beta e^x$  where  $\beta = \int_0^1 e^{-t} f(t) dt$  is a constant depending on  $f$ . If  $Tf - \lambda f = g$ , then  $f = Tf/\lambda - g/\lambda$  and  $f$  is necessarily of the form  $f = \alpha e^x - g/\lambda$ , where  $\alpha = \beta/\lambda$ .

**(4 points)**

(d) Computing  $f = (T - \lambda)^{-1}g$  means finding  $f \in X$  such that  $Tf - \lambda f = g$ . Part (c) implies that there exists a constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $f(x) = \alpha e^x - g(x)/\lambda$ . In this case the equation  $Tf - \lambda f = g$  reads as

$$\int_0^1 e^{x-t} \left( \alpha e^t - \frac{g(t)}{\lambda} \right) dt - \lambda \alpha e^x + g(x) = g(x),$$

or, equivalently,

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda-1)} \int_0^1 e^{-t} g(t) dt.$$

This gives

$$(T - \lambda)^{-1}g = -\frac{1}{\lambda}g(x) - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda-1)} \int_0^1 e^{x-t} g(t) dt.$$

**(4 points)**

(e) Note that for  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$  the operator

$$S_\lambda = -\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda-1)}T$$

is well-defined and bounded since it is a linear combination of two bounded operators (namely the identity and  $T$ ). A straightforward computation shows that

$$(T - \lambda)S_\lambda = S_\lambda(T - \lambda) = I,$$

which means that  $(T - \lambda)^{-1} = S_\lambda \in B(X)$  for all  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1\}$ . This implies  $\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\} \subset \rho(T)$ .

**(5 points)**

Since  $\{0, 1\} \subset \sigma(T)$  we have in fact that  $\rho(T) = \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and  $\sigma(T) = \{0, 1\}$ .

**(2 points)**

**Solution of problem 3 (5 + 3 + 7 + 5 = 20 points)**

- (a) *Alternative 1.* Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and let  $O \subset X$  be nonempty and open. Then  $O$  is nonmeager.

**(5 points)**

*Alternative 2.* A complete metric space cannot be written as the countable union of nowhere dense subsets.

**(5 points)**

- (b) Note that  $\mathcal{S}_n = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : x_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq n\}$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of the normed linear space  $\mathcal{S}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is closed.

**(3 points)**

- (c) We need to prove that  $\text{int } \overline{\mathcal{S}_n} = \emptyset$ , or, equivalently, since  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is closed, that  $\text{int } \mathcal{S}_n = \emptyset$ .

**(2 points)**

If  $x \in \text{int } \mathcal{S}_n$  then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\{y \in \mathcal{S} : \|y - x\| < \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{S}_n.$$

Let  $z \in \mathcal{S}$  be nonzero and define  $\tilde{z} = x + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon z / \|z\|$  then

$$\|\tilde{z} - x\| = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ . In turn, this implies that

$$z = \frac{2\|z\|}{\varepsilon}(\tilde{z} - x) \in \mathcal{S}_n$$

so that  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_n$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\text{int } \mathcal{S}_n = \emptyset$ .

**(5 points)**

- (d) If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Banach space, then it is also a complete metric space. Since

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$$

it would follow from Baire's theorem that at least one of the sets  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is *not* nowhere dense. This contradicts the conclusion of part (c). Hence, we conclude that  $\mathcal{S}$  is *not* a Banach space.

**(5 points)**

**Solution of problem 4 (4 + 6 = 10 points)**

- (a) For  $x \in X$  the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives  $|f_v(x)| = |(x, v)| \leq \|x\| \|v\|$ , which implies that

$$\sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|f_v(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq \|v\|.$$

**(3 points)**

For  $x = v$  we have

$$\frac{|f_v(x)|}{\|x\|} = \frac{|(v, v)|}{\|v\|} = \|v\|.$$

Hence,  $\|f_v\| = \|v\|$ .

**(1 point)**

- (b) For any  $x \in X$  there exists a constant  $M_x \geq 0$  such that

$$|f_v(x)| = |(x, v)| = |(v, x)| \leq M_x,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{v \in V} |f_v(x)| < \infty \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

**(3 points)**

By part (a) and the uniform boundedness principle we have

$$\sup_{v \in V} \|v\| = \sup_{v \in V} \|f_v\| < \infty,$$

which implies that the set  $V$  is bounded.

**(3 points)**



**Problem 5 (4 + 6 = 10 points)**

(a) For  $f, g \in X'$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$  we have

$$F_x(\lambda f + \mu g) = (\lambda f + \mu g)(x) = \lambda f(x) + \mu g(x) = \lambda F_x(f) + \mu F_x(g),$$

which shows that  $F_x : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a linear map.

**(2 points)**

We have

$$\|F_x\| = \sup_{f \in X', f \neq 0} \frac{|F_x(f)|}{\|f\|} = \sup_{f \in X', f \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|} = \|x\|,$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

**(2 points)**

(b) The operator  $J : X \rightarrow X''$  is an isometry and hence injective. This means that  $J(X)$  is a copy of  $X$  inside  $X''$ . Set  $\tilde{X} = \overline{J(X)}$ . Since  $X''$  is a Banach space and  $\tilde{X}$  is closed in  $X''$  it follows that  $\tilde{X}$  is a Banach space. If  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , then  $Jx_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\tilde{X}$  (since  $J$  is isometric) and hence convergent. In this way, every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  has a limit in the larger space  $\tilde{X}$  and hence the latter space can be considered as a completion of  $X$ .

**(6 points)**